

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal to amend the and 570-580 Canterbury Road, Be		at 538-546 Canterbury Road, Campsie
Proposal Summary :	Planning proposal to amend Canterbury Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2012 by increasing the maximum permissible building height from 18 metres to 25 metres for land at 538-546 Canterbury Road, Campsie and 570-580 Canterbury Road, Belmore.		
PP Number :	PP_2015_CANTE_009_00	Dop File No :	16/01629
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	15-Feb-2016	LGA covered :	Canterbury
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Canterbury City Council
State Electorate :	CANTERBURY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : 538	3-546 Canterbury Road, Campsie &	570-580 Canterbury Roa	id, Belmore
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lot	B DP389844, Lot A & B DP337934,	Lot B & C & Cnr Lot A D	P345935
DoP Planning Office	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Andrew Watkins		
Contact Number :	0292286558		
Contact Email :	andrew.watkins@planning.nsw.go	v.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Tom Foster		
Contact Number :	0297899618		
Contact Email :	tomf@canterbury.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Martin Cooper		
Contact Number :	0292286582		
Contact Email :	martin.cooper@planning.nsw.gov	.au	

Land Release	Data
--------------	------

Land Release Data	1		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	161
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Governme Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	nt Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?			
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning and communications with registered		
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The planning proposal is suppo - consistent with the housing-re facilitation of additional resident - consistent with the 'continued Bankstown to Sydneham corride - consistent with the relevant s1 (SEPPs), except for Direction 1. discussed in the planning propo	lated objectives of A Plan for C tial development capacity with focus' on the provision of add or; and 17 Directions and State Enviro 1 Business and Industrial Zone	in an existing urban area; itional housing in the onmental Planning Policies
	The sites are within the Belmore Renewal Corridor Strategy (Octo corridor' along Canterbury Road retail or showrooms at ground f proposed maximum height cont identified in the draft strategy.	ober 2015) and form part of the J. The Strategy identifies this a loor level, with a typical height	e 'Mixed use enterprise area for 'new housing with t of 5-6 storeys'. The
	538-546 Canterbury Road (the e development including 40 apart 570-580 Canterbury Road (the w use development, including 84 a Both sites are also subject to a additional 16 and 21 additional a the current DAs have not yet be	ments, commercial tenancies a vestern site) also has DA appro apartments, commercial tenan current DA seeking an addition apartments respectively. Coun	and basement parking. oval, for a six storey mixed cies and basement parking. nal 2 storeys and an
	No urban design studies have b the current 8-storey developme maximum height control of 18m The nearest affected residential single storey dwellings subject	nt proposals. Both sites are p , both on this, and the opposit properties are 2 and 4 Chelms	ractically surrounded by a te side of Canterbury Road. sford Avenue, which are both

	The large adjoining site at 548-568 Canterbury Road sits between the planning proposal sites and has JRPP approval (ref: 2013SYE104) for a mixed use development including 254 apartments/units and 16 ground floor commercial units. Whilst the LEP building height controls for this site remains at 18m, the JRPP approved a proposed height of 22.4m, which was achieved by way of a clause 4.6 variation to the Canterbury LEP 2012 development standard relating to building heights.
<u>(%)</u>	The Department is concerned about the potential cumulative impacts of the subject sites and the adjoining site in terms of traffic and parking generation; wider social impacts and the impact of the future built form on neighbouring and nearby properties, issues which are not adequately addressed in the planning proposal.
	The site is not identified in any actions of Council's Residential Development Strategy. The proposal is therefore a departure from the Strategy, an issue which has also not been addressed in the planning proposal, despite a request for justification.
	It is therefore considered that further justification is required to support the increased height controls. The Gateway determination conditions that the planning proposal be updated to:
	• demonstrate consistency with the Bankstown to Sydenham Urban Renewal Corridor
	Strategy; • provide justification in relation to section 117 Direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones; • address potential site specific and cumulative traffic and parking, built form, and social impacts of the planning proposal and the development of the adjoining site; • justify the proposal's inconsistency with Council's Residential Development Strategy (2013); and
	• demonstrate compliance with State Environmental Planning Policy 55 Remediation of Land.
External Supporting Notes :	Council supports the planning proposal because: - it facilitates maximisation of the residential redevelopment potential of the site; - the proposed height control would be "in line with" that of the site located between the two subject sites (548-568 Canterbury Road);
	 the proposed increased height control would enable a consistent approach to
	development standards on this part of Canterbury Road; - a single developer has been able to consolidate a redevelopment site at 548-568, 570-572, 576, 578 and 580 Canterbury Road; and
	- the proposal is consistent with Council's Community Strategic Plan through the development of appropriately located high density residential development.
Adequacy Assessme	nt
Statement of the ol	bjectives - s55(2)(a)
Is a statement of the c	objectives provided? Yes
Comment	The objective of the planning proposal is to facilitate the maximisation of high density residential development opportunities at the sites.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :	The proposal would amend the Canterbury LEP 2012 by an amendment to the current	
	Height of Building (HoB) Map, providing a new height limit of 25 metres.	

	<u>``</u>		
Justification - s55 (2)(c)			
a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes			
b) S.117 directions identifie	ed by RPA :	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport	
* May need the Director Ge	eneral's agreement	7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney	
Is the Director General's	s agreement required? N	0	
c) Consistent with Standard	d Instrument (LEPs) Orde	er 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have the	RPA identified?	SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		Development Strategy (October 2013) - The planning proposal does ture from the strategy.	
Have inconsistencies with i	items a), b) and d) being	adequately justified? No	
If No, explain :	SEPPs except for Dire	idered consistent with most of the applicable s117 Directions and ection 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones and SEPP 55 Remediation eents of which have not been addressed by the planning proposal.	
	In terms of the potential for the site to be contaminated, the planning proposal states that whilst the requirements of SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land would be required to be met as part of future site-specific DAs, these have already been prepared as part of the current and pending DAs. As such, contamination issues appear to be manageable.		
		at prior to exhibition, the planning proposal be updated to ency with Direction 1.1 and SEPP 55.	
Mapping Provided - s5	Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided? Yes			
Comment :	The planning proposal includes limited extracts from Council's existing Zoning and Height of Building maps, and the proposed Height of Building map, which are adequate for the purposes of the assessment of this planning proposal. However, it is recommended that the proposal is updated prior to exhibition to include current and proposed Height of Building Maps (in accordance with the Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Mapping).		
Community consultati	Community consultation - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consultation	on been proposed? Yes		
Comment :	The planning proposities supported by the D	al recommends a community consultation period of 28 days, which repartment.	
Additional Director Ge	neral's requirement	S	
Are there any additional Di	irector General's requirer	nents? No	
If Yes, reasons :			
Overall adequacy of th	ne proposal		
Does the proposal meet th	e adequacy criteria? No		
If No, comment :	Whilst the proposal is	s adequate for the purposes of assessment and the issuing of a	

	Gateway determination, it is inadequate in terms of full justification and the purposes of public exhibition. The inadequacies can be addressed by conditions attached to the determination.
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Canterbury Local Environmental Plan 2012 was finalised on 21 December 2012.
Assessment Criteria	ı
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal partly results from the fact that the sites are not subject to any recommendations of Council's Residential Development Strategy (RDS). The proposal seeks to bring the sites' height controls into line with that of the adjoining site (548-568 Canterbury Road) which was subject to recommendations of the RDS, being a maximum building height control of 21 metres.
	A planning proposal is the only mechanism for Council to increase height controls for the site.

Consistency with	A Plan for Growing Sydney:
strategic planning	The planning proposal is consistent with Directions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 of the Plan in that:
framework :	- it will contribute to the acceleration and improvement of housing choice, and will
Trainework .	facilitate urban renewal of the site within a reasonable distance of Belmore Railway
	Station (albeit greater than 800m);
	- the site is located within the Bankstown-Sydenham corridor, which is identified for
	continued focus on urban renewal activities to provide additional housing; and
	 it will contribute to the number of additional dwellings required to meet the
	accommodation needs of Sydney's growing population and changing demographics.
	Draft Bankstown to Sydenham Urban Renewal Corridor Strategy:
	The draft Strategy was released for public comment on 14 October 2015. It identifies that a
	mix of medium and medium-high rise residential development up to eight storeys is to be
	located within 400m of Belmore Station. Both sites are approximately 1.3km from the
	station and are located within the identified 'Mixed use enterprise corridor' which
	indicates that the corridor should provide for 'new housing with retail shops or showrooms
	at ground floor level, typically 5-6 storeys.'
	al ground hoor level, lypically 5-6 storeys.
	The second se
	The planning proposal therefore demonstrates inconsistency with both the built form
	vision, and 'Future Precinct Character' proposed for the Belmore Precinct.
	Internal consultation with the Department's Urban Renewal, Planning Strategies team
	indicates that, subject to appropriate design quality, the proposed additional height may
	be acceptable in the context of the draft Strategy, and that as the Strategy develops, the
	corridor-wide cumulative impacts of development in the corridor will be considered.
	It is recommended that prior to exhibition, the planning proposal be updated to provide
	justification for this departure from the draft Strategy and including consideration of the
	cumulative impacts of the proposal and the approved development of the adjoining site
	(548-568 Canterbury Road).
	(546-506 Callelbury Road).
	Contactions Desidential Development Strategy (BDS):
	Canterbury Residential Development Strategy (RDS):
	The RDS did not make any recommendations in relation to the subject sites, and therefore,
	Council's earlier planning proposal to implement the RDS (PP_2014_CANTE_001_00) did
	not include either of the subject sites. The proposal is therefore a departure from the RDS.
	In December 2015, the Department requested justification for this departure be provided, in
	addition to other information. Council has not provided justification for this departure.
	However, the following factors weigh in favour of the proposal:
	- the adjoining large site at 548-568 Canterbury Road benefits from an approval for a
	significant mixed use redevelopment of up to 22.4m in height;
	- the subject sites both currently benefit from approvals for a 6-storey (18m) mixed use
	redevelopment; and
	- the RDS anticipates that the sites will benefit from the southern extension of the Sydney
	Metro line which is due to be operational in 2024; potential increases in train and bus
	frequency in the corridor; and potential improvements to walking and cycling
	infrastructure, parks and open space and education and community infrastructure.
	The Department considers that justification for the departures from the draft Bankstown to
	Sydenham Corridor Urban Renewal Strategy and RDS is in the public interest given the
	cumulative scale of this planning proposal and the approved redevelopment of the
	adjoining site and the consequent potential cumulative traffic generation, social and built
	form impacts. It is therefore considered appropriate that the planning proposal be updated
	to address the identified departures and potential cumulative impacts, which can be
	required by way of conditions on a Gateway determination.
Environmental social	Environmental impacts:
economic impacts :	Given the location of the sites within an existing urban area, and their current developed
	state, it is considered that there are no likely environmental impacts of the planning
	proposal, except potentially for those relating to traffic generation and impact of the
	proposal's built form upon adjoining and nearby residential properties.

	Whilst each site has been subje does not include consideration redevelopment of all three sites With regard to potential built fo particular, upon neighbouring a	of the cumulative traffic-relat , which together could yield rm, the potential cumulative i	ted impacts of the 415 residential apartments. mpact upon the area, but in	
	It is therefore recommended that address the cumulative traffic a			
	Social/Economic impacts: The planning proposal recognis facilities and services resulting address the potential cumulativ and the adjoining site at 548-56 use development including 254	from an increase in resident re social impacts of the devel 8 Canterbury Road, which ha	population, but does not opment of the subject sites	I
	It is therefore recommended the proposal be updated prior to ex the cumulative social impact of Canterbury Road.	hibition to address the socia	l impact of the proposal and	
	The Gateway determination sho and State government departm education, social housing, heal areas (see Recommendation, b	ents in order to ascertain the th and emergency facilities in	capacity of existing	
Assessment Proces	s			
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	DDG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Ambulance Service of NSW Department of Family and Com Department of Education and C Energy Australia Fire and Rescue NSW Department of Health NSW Police Force Transport for NSW - Sydney Tr Transport for NSW - Roads and Sydney Water	communities ains		

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?

If no, provide reasons :

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

Social Other - provide details below

No

Yes

If Other, provide reasons :

The following other studies are required to be completed and exhibited with the planning proposal:

- Cumulative traffic and parking analysis; and

- Urban Design report/statement to address the potential cumulative impacts of future built form of both the subject sites and the proposed development of 548-568 Canterbury Road.

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
1. Cover letter & Planning Proposal .pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Additional Information :	The Planning Proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal is to be updated to:
	a. demonstrate consistency with the Sydenham to Bankstown Urban Renewal Corridor Strategy, including justification for any departures from the Strategy;
	b. provide justification for the proposal in relation to the objectives and requirements of section 117 Direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones;
	c. provide further traffic and parking analysis to: i) demonstrate how the additional traffic and parking demand generated by the planning proposal and its increased dwelling yield can be accommodated; and ii) address the cumulative traffic and parking impacts of this planning proposal and the development of the adjoining site at 548-568 Canterbury Road.
	d. include an Urban Design Study/Assessment to: i) justify the departure from Council's Residential Development Strategy 2013; and ii) address the suitable built form of this planning proposal, and the development of the adjoining site at 548-568 Canterbury Road.
	e. address the social impacts (including consideration of capacity of existing education, health and emergency services, and the potential relocation of existing residents of 576, 578 and 580 Canterbury Road, Belmore) of this planning proposal and and the development of the adjoining site at 548-568 Canterbury Road.
	f. include current and proposed Height of Building maps (in accordance with the Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Mapping); and
	g. demonstrate compliance with the provisions of SEPP 55 Remediation of Land.
	2. Community consultation is required and the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days.

	·····, ·····, ·····, ······	
	3. Consultation is required with NSW Roads and Maritime Services (RMS), Sydney Trains, NSW Department of Education and Communities (DEC), NSW Health, NSW Department of Family and Community Services, Ambulance Service of NSW, NSW Police Force, Fire and Rescue NSW, Sydney Water and Energy Australia and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.	
	4. Should any of the consultees identified in Condition 3 request any additional information, or specify any additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal, the planning proposal is to be revised to respond to any such submission received, and a copy of the submission is to be included with the revised planning proposal.	
	5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter.	
	6. The time frame for completing the LEP is to be 12 months.	
Supporting Reasons :	 6. The time frame for completing the LEP is to be 12 months. The planning proposal is supported, subject to conditions, as it: meets the objectives and directions of A Plan for Growing Sydney by facilitating urban renewal and new housing opportunities for a site within reasonable proximity to town centres and train stations; promotes transport orientated development of a site located within the Sydenham to Bankstown Urban Renewal Corridor (Belmore Precinct), which will benefit directly from the implementation of Sydney Metro Southwest rail line project; facilitates increased mixed-use outcomes for the site; facilitates maximisation of the residential redevelopment opportunity by providing new housing as part of mixed use development; will facilitate better infill-development outcomes of the sites by undertaking an Urban design strategy for large lot redevelopment and an aligned and consistent approach to planning controls; will increase and improve Sydney's housing choice and accelerate housing supply; and is in proximity to existing infrastructure, services and transport. 	
Signature:	Miltohal	
Printed Name:	M Kokot Date: 31/3/2016	